

Preliminary Results in AC-Joint Dislocation Using a Ligament Augmentation Device (LARS®)

Fialka C, Oberleitner G, Hexel M, Stampfl P, Vécsei V

Medical University Vienna, Dept. of Traumatology; Waehringer Guertel 18-20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria
Chairman: V. Vécsei

Aim of the study:

The early results in a consecutive patient series after operative reconstruction following acromioclavicular-joint (AC-joint) dislocations using anatomical ligament reconstruction and additional polyesterband-augmentation (LARS®) are presented. Indication and operative technique are described and discussed.

Material and Method:

For this prospective analysis 20 consecutive patients with acute AC-joint dislocations type Tossy III or Rockwood III-IV were included. Exclusion criteria were multiple trauma or positive history concerning the involved extremity. All procedures were performed by one surgeon (F.C.). In all cases the coracoclavicular ligaments and the superior acromioclavicular ligament were sutured or reinserted by transosseous sutures. The augmentation device (LARS®) was inserted around the base of the coracoid process and fixated with 2 titanium interference screws (5.2/15 mm), after drilling two oblique holes into the lateral clavicle (s. fig.1 and 2). Postoperatively there was no restriction to active ROM, except personal discomfort. Functional assessment was performed by Constant Score 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 weeks postoperatively as well as plane x-ray (s. fig.3)



Fig1: Placement of LARS® ligament with special jig



Fig2: Suture of the coracoclavicular ligaments



Fig3: Postoperative X-ray

Results:

On average the full range of motion compared with the contralateral extremity was reached after 6 weeks. The Constant Score at this time was 86.7 pts. At the one year follow-up there was no deterioration in the functional outcome. Radiologically in 3 cases a secondary loosening of the joint could be observed (less than 5 mm in each case) without any influence on the functional result.

Discussion:

The therapeutical consequences after AC-joint dislocations Tossy III are controversially discussed in the literature^{2, 6, 9, 11-14}. If operative treatment is chosen, many different procedures exist like transarticular techniques, e.g. K-wires^{1, 8}, extrarticular implants, such as Bosworth screws^{3, 4}, coracoclavicular loop wires or plate fixation^{5, 7, 11}. However, rigid fixation techniques allow early mobilisation, but lead to restricted function due to their mechanical properties¹⁰. Therefore loop fixation techniques seem to be superior in early rehabilitation, as supported by our own data, and do not require a secondary operative procedure for implant removal.

Conclusion:

The use of an augmentation device (LARS®) in addition to ligament reconstruction after AC-joint separation seems to give satisfactory early functional results. This technique can be recommended regarding the possibility of early postoperative functional rehabilitation.

References:

1. Bateman, J.E.: Athletic injuries about the shoulder in throwing and body-contact sports. *Clin. Orthop.* 23:75-83, 1962.
2. Bathis, H., Tugart, M., Bouillon, B., and Tibing, T.: Conservative or surgical therapy of acromioclavicular joint injury—what is reliable? A systematic analysis of the literature using "evidence-based medicine" criteria. *Chirurg* 71:1082-1089, 2000.
3. Bosworth, B.M.: Acromioclavicular separation: new method of repair. *Surg. Gynecol. Obstet.* 72:366-371, 1941.
4. Bosworth, B.M.: Complete acromioclavicular dislocation. *New England Journal of Medicine* 241:221-225, 1949.
5. Dittmer, H., Jauch, K.W., and Wenzig, V.: Management of acromioclavicular dislocations with the Baber plate. *Unfallchirurgie* 87:216-222, 1984.
6. Fialka, C., Stampfl, P., Oberleitner, G., and Vécsei, V.: Traumatic acromioclavicular joint separations: current concepts. *European Surgery* 36:20-24, 2004.
7. Habernack, H., Weinstabl, R., Schmidt, J., and Fialka, C.: A corac plate for treatment of acromioclavicular joint separation: indication, technique, and results after one year. *J. Trauma* 35:893-901, 1993.
8. Imman, V.T., McLaughlin, H.D., Nevasier, J., and Rowe, C.: Treatment of complete acromioclavicular dislocation. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 44A:1008-1011, 1962.
9. Imman, V.T., Saastveder, J.R., and Abbott, J.C.: Observations on the function of the shoulder joint. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 26A:1-30, 1944.
10. Kief, H., Chaves, L., Barr, C., and Holtevarth, J.: The stabilizing effect of custom implants on the torn acromioclavicular joint. A biomechanical study. *Arch. Orthop. Trauma Surg* 106:42-46, 1986.
11. Masowick, B., Brenner, P., Dube, W., and Heymann, H.: Repair of complete acromioclavicular dislocation (Tossy stage III) using Baber's hook plate combined with ligament sutures. *Injury* 19:227-232, 1988.
12. Rawes, M.L., and Diaz, J.: Long-term results of conservative treatment for acromioclavicular dislocation. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* 78:410-412, 1996.
13. Rieckelrod, M., Reuziger, G., Dostal, A., and Uner, H.: Comparison and outcome of grade II and III acromioclavicular joint injuries. *Unfallchirurg* 99:778-783, 1996.
14. Schwarz, N., and Leisinger, M.: Late results of unreduced acromioclavicular Tossy III ruptures. *Unfallchirurg* 89:248-252, 1986.

Corresponding author: Christian Fialka, MD, Department of Traumatology, AKH Vienna, Waehringer guertel 18/20, A-1090 Vienna, Fax: +43/40400/5939, E-Mail: c.fialka@netway.at